

# NON-ABELIAN FLUX ALGEBRAS IN YANG-MILLS THEORIES \*

Leszek Łukaszuk

Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies,  
Hoża 69, 00-681 Warsaw, Poland  
e-mail: lukaszuk@fuw.edu.pl

## Abstract

Contour gauges are discussed in the framework of canonical formalism. We find flux operator algebras with the structure constants of underlying Yang-Mills theory.

---

\*To appear in Proceedings of XXVIII ICHEP'96.

## 1 Introduction

Contour gauges [1] have been already successfully applied to Yang-Mills theories in the framework of path integral approach. In this note I am going to discuss these gauges in the framework of canonical formalism. The curves admitted will be those discussed in ref.[2], where a slight modification of the gauge condition used in [1] has been proposed and discussed under the name of ponderomotive gauges. These gauges can be indexed [2] with homotopy families admissible by geometry of region  $V$  considered. In the case of Yang-Mills theory we limit ourselves to self-contractible families, defined in ref.[2]. They have a useful property, namely Y-M potentials are orthogonal to these curves, i.e. from the gauge constraints

$$\int_{c(x,x_0)} A_a^\mu(y) dy_\mu = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

follows [2]

$$\frac{\partial c_\mu(x, x_0, \tau)}{\partial \tau} A_a^\mu(c(x, x_0, \tau)) = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

for any  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$  and  $x \in V$ .

We are going to implement these gauges into canonical formalism of Y-M theory (Ch.2). Next, we establish in Ch.3 algebras of fluxes:

$$\mathcal{B}_a^{(\sigma)} = \int_\sigma B_a^k n^k d\sigma \quad (1.3)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_a^{(\sigma^*)} = \int_{\sigma^*} E_a^k n^k d\sigma \quad (1.4)$$

$\vec{x}^0 \notin \sigma$

## 2 Dirac brackets for Y-M theory

In what follows the discussion of surface terms will be omitted. The canonical Hamiltonian is then:

$$H = \int_V d^3x \mathcal{H} \quad (2.1)$$

with

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{B}_a \cdot \vec{B}_a + \vec{E}_a \cdot \vec{E}_a) - [\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}_a - g\mathcal{C}_{abc}\vec{A}_b \cdot \vec{E}_c]A_a^0 \quad (2.2)$$

$$E_a^i(\vec{x}) = -\Pi_a^i(\vec{x}) \quad (2.3)$$

$$D_a^{(1)} = \Pi_a^0 \approx 0 \quad (2.4)$$

$$D_a^{(2)} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}_a - g\mathcal{C}_{abc}\vec{A}_b \cdot \vec{E}_c \approx 0 \quad (2.5)$$

We take temporal gauge

$$D_a^{(3)} = A_a^0 \approx 0 \quad (2.6)$$

and ponderomotive space-like gauge constraint

$$D_a^{(4)} = \int_{c(\vec{x}, \vec{x}_0)} A_a^i(y) dy^i \approx 0 \quad (2.7)$$

The constraints (2.4-2.7) are compatible with

$$\mathcal{H}' = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{B}_a \cdot \vec{B}_a + \vec{E}_a \cdot \vec{E}_a) + D_a^{(2)}(x)v_{(a)}^{(2)}(x) \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$v_a^{(2)}(x) = \int_{c(\vec{x}, \vec{x}_0)} dy^i E_a^i(y) \quad (2.9)$$

Let us notice that the condition (2.7) is trivial for  $\vec{x} = \vec{x}_0$  (for self-contractible curves  $\vec{c}(\vec{x}_0, \vec{x}_0) = \vec{x}_0$ ). Therefore our further considerations will be valid for the region  $V_-$ :

$$V_- = V - P(\vec{x}_0) \quad (2.10)$$

Next, let us remark that compatibility of (2.7) with (2.8) is evident once we prove - in analogy with Maxwell theory [2]- that in  $V_-$

$$[D_d^{(4)}(\vec{x}), D_a^{(2)}(\vec{y})]_P = \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y})\delta_{ad} \quad (2.11)$$

The first term of  $D_a^{(2)}(y)$ ,  $-\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}_a$  (comp. eq.(2.5)) yields already r.h.s. of (2.11) - derivation is the same as for Maxwell theory (comp.[2]). So we have to show that

$$\mathcal{C}_{abc}[D_a^{(4)}(\vec{x}), A_b^i E_c^i(y)]_P \approx 0 \quad (2.12)$$

The use of (2.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{C}_{abc}[D_a^{(4)}(x), A_b^i(y)E_c^i(y)]_P = \\ & = \mathcal{C}_{abc} \int_{c(\vec{x}, \vec{x}_0)} dz^k [A_d^k(z), A_b^i(y)E_c^i(y)]_P = \\ & = \mathcal{C}_{abc}(-)\delta_{cd} \int_{c(\vec{x}, \vec{x}_0)} dz^k \delta(\vec{z} - \vec{y}) A_b^k(z) \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Please notice, that  $dz^k A^k(z)|_{z \in c(\vec{x}, \vec{x}_0)} \approx 0$  from (2.7) (comp.eqns (1.1), (1.2)), therefore (2.12) is proved.

Let us come back to constraints ((2.4)-(2.7)). With the help of (2.11) the matrix

$$d_{a,b}^{i,k} = [D_a^{(i)}(x), D_b^{(k)}(y)]_P \quad (2.14)$$

can be written as

$$d_{a,b}^{i,k} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{ik} \cdot \delta_{ab} \delta_3(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \quad (2.15)$$

so that

$$d^{-1} = -d \quad (2.16)$$

and Dirac brackets of the theory follow [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} [E_c^r(\vec{x}), A_d^s(\vec{y})]_D &= \delta_{cd} \delta_{rs} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) - \\ &- \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial y^s} \delta_{cd} - g \mathcal{C}_{cdb} A_b^s(y) \right] \cdot \int_{w \in c(\vec{y}, \vec{x}_0)} dw^r \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{w}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

$$[A_c^r, A_d^s]_D = 0 \quad (2.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &[E_c^r(\vec{x}), E_d^s(\vec{y})]_D = \\ &= g \mathcal{C}_{cdf} \left[ \int_{w \in c(\vec{x}, \vec{x}_0)} dw^s \delta(\vec{y} - \vec{w}) E_f^r(\vec{x}) + \int_{w \in c(\vec{y}, \vec{x}_0)} dw^r \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{w}) E_f^s(y) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

In the next section eqns.(2.17 - 2.19) will be used in the derivation of non-abelian algebras.

### 3 Flux algebras

Let us consider at the beginning a special type of surfaces appearing in definitions (1.3), (1.4) of  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  fluxes. Take a loop  $L$  and some homotopy  $c(\vec{x}, \vec{a})$ . We define a horn  $H(L, c)$ :

$$\vec{x} \in H(L, c) \iff x^k = c^k(\vec{L}(t), \vec{a}, t_1) \quad (3.1)$$

for some  $t, t_1 \in [0, 1]$  and fix orientation on this surface:

$$\vec{n} \parallel \left( \frac{\partial \vec{c}}{\partial t_1} \times \frac{\partial \vec{c}}{\partial t} \right) \quad (3.2)$$

We are going to show that fluxes  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}$  through these homotopy horns are equal to loop integrals:

$$\int_{H(L, c)} (\vec{B}_a \cdot \vec{n}) d\sigma = \int_L f_a^r dx^r \quad (3.3)$$

$$\int_{H(L^*, c^*)} (\vec{E}_a \cdot \vec{n}) d\sigma = \int_L {}^* f_a^r dx^r \quad (3.4)$$

with

$$f_a^r(x) = \int_c B_a^k \varepsilon^{kij} \frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^r} dy^i \quad (3.5)$$

$${}^* f_a^r(x) = \int_{c^*} E_a^k \varepsilon^{kij} \frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^r} dy^i \quad (3.6)$$

$L, L^*$  and  $c, c^*$  need not be related. At this stage we need not specify in what gauge  $\vec{B}_a, \vec{E}_a$  are given. Eqns.(3.3), (3.4) are consequence of a simple observation. Take any antisymmetric tensor  $T_{ij}$  and define

$$g^r(x) = \int_{y \in c(\vec{x}, \vec{a})} T^{ij}(y) \frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^r} dy^i \quad (3.7)$$

Then

$$\int_L g^r(x) dx^r = \int dt dt_1 \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial t_1} \frac{\partial y^j}{\partial t} \varepsilon^{ijk} T^k(y) \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$T^{ij} = \varepsilon^{ijk} T^k \quad (3.9)$$

$$y^i = c^i(L(t), x_0, t_1) \quad (3.10)$$

$$\int_L g^r(x) dx^r = \int_{H(L, c)} (\vec{T} \cdot \vec{n}) d\sigma \quad (3.11)$$

Replacement  $g \longrightarrow f \text{ or } {}^* f$  and  $T^k \longrightarrow B^k \text{ or } E^k$ , gives eqns.(3.3) and (3.4), respectively.

If we specify  $\vec{B}_a, \vec{E}_a$  to be in a gauge defined through  $c$  from eqn.(3.5), then  $f_a^r(x)$  is a potential in this gauge (comp.ref.[2]). Still there is a vast choice

of homotopies  $c^*$  defining dual potential  $^* f$ . Let us consider Dirac brackets of  $\mathcal{E}, B$  in c-gauge:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{B}_d, \mathcal{E}_c]_D &= \left[ \int_L f_d^r dx^r, \int_{L^*} ^* f_c^s dy^s \right]_D = \\ &= \left[ \int_L f_d^r dx^r, \int_{L^*} dy^s \int_{c^*(y, a^*)} dz^i \frac{\partial z^j}{\partial y^s} \varepsilon^{ijk} E_c^k(z) \right]_D \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Using (2.17) one gets, after some algebra, the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{E}_c^{H^*}, \mathcal{B}_d^H]_D &= \delta_{cd} N(L; H^*) + \\ &+ g c_{cdg} \int_{x \in L} dx^r f_g^r(x) N(c(x, a); H^*) \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$N(L; H^*) = \sum_t \text{sgn} \left( \frac{\partial \vec{L}(t_1)}{\partial t_1} \cdot \vec{n}_{H^*}(t_2, t_3) \right) \quad (3.14)$$

$$N(c(\vec{x}, a); H^*) = \sum_{\tau(x)} \text{sgn} \left( \frac{\partial \vec{c}(\vec{x}, \vec{a}, \tau_1)}{\partial \tau_1} \cdot \vec{n}_{H^*}(\tau_2, \tau_3) \right) \quad (3.15)$$

with  $t_i, \tau_i(x)$  being the solutions of the following equations:

$$\vec{c}^*(L^*(t_2), \vec{a}^*, t_3) = \vec{L}(t_1) \quad (3.16)$$

$$\vec{c}^*(L^*(\tau_2), \vec{a}^*, \tau_3) = \vec{c}(\vec{x}, \vec{a}, \tau_1) \quad (3.17)$$

and  $\vec{n}_{H^*}$  being normal to a horn  $H^* \equiv H(L^*, c^*)$  (comp.eqns (3.1), (3.2)). The conditions (3.16) or (3.17) are fulfilled whenever the surface of  $H^*$  is pierced by loop  $L$  or homotopy curve  $c(\vec{x}, \vec{a})$ , respectively.  $N$ 's in eqns (3.14), (3.15) denote net numbers of piercings.

The abelian part of (3.13) has been already discussed [4] for the radial gauge; it leads to t'Hooft algebra [5]. The non-abelian part can be expressed through surface integrals. Call  $K_N$  part of a loop  $L$ , characterized by  $N(c; H^*) = N$ ,  $N$  fixed ( $K_N$  can consist of disjoint pieces). We have  $L = \sum_N K_N$  and corresponding horn surface:

$$H(L, c) = \bigcup_N H(K_N, c) \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$x \in H(K_N, c) \iff \vec{x} = \vec{c}(\vec{y}, \vec{a}, t) \quad (3.19)$$

for some  $Y \in K_N$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Evidently eqn(3.3) holds for  $H(K_N, c)$  so that eqn(3.13) can be rewritten as:

$$[\mathcal{E}_c^{H^*}, \mathcal{B}_d^H] = \delta_{cd} N(L; H^*) + g c_{cdg} \sum_N N \int_{H(K_N, c)} \vec{B}_g \cdot \vec{n} d\sigma \quad (3.20)$$

Let us add, that in fact eqn(3.20) holds for any surface  $S$ , not necessarily a horn  $H^*(L^*, c^*)$ .  $H^*$  is useful if we want to keep relation with loop integrals over dual potential (see eqns (3.4), (3.6)). More generally, we have:

$$[\mathcal{E}_c^S, \mathcal{B}_d^H] = \delta_{cd} N(L; S) + g c_{cdf} \sum_N N \int_{H(K_N, c)} \vec{B}_f \cdot \vec{n} d\sigma \quad (3.21)$$

Let us consider now fluxes  $\mathcal{E}_c^{(S_1)}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_d^{(S_2)}$ . Surfaces  $S_i$  are parametrized by given  $s_i(t_1, t_2)$ :

$$x \in S_i \iff \vec{x} = \vec{s}_i(t_1, t_2) \quad (3.22)$$

for some  $(t_1, t_2) \in [0, 1]$ .

The Dirac bracket of  $\mathcal{E}_c^{(S_1)}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_d^{(S_2)}$  - calculated in  $c$ -gauge - is given by the following expression:

$$[\mathcal{E}_c^{(S_1)}, \mathcal{E}_d^{(S_2)}] = g C_{cdf} \left[ \int_{s_1 \in S_1} \vec{E}_f(s_1) \vec{n}_{S_1}(s_1) N(c(\vec{s}_1, \vec{a}); S_2) d\sigma + \int_{s_2 \in S_2} \vec{E}_f(s_2) \vec{n}_{S_2}(s_2) N(c(\vec{s}_2, \vec{a}); S_1) d\sigma \right] \quad (3.23)$$

where  $N$ 's are the net numbers of piercings:

$$N(c(\vec{s}_1, \vec{a}); S_2) = \sum_{t_i(s_1)} \text{sgn} \left( \frac{\partial \vec{c}(\vec{s}_1, \vec{a}, t_3)}{\partial t_3} \cdot \vec{n}_{S_2}(t_1, t_2) \right) \quad (3.24)$$

with  $t_i(s_1)$  being solutions of the following equation:

$$\vec{c}(\vec{s}_1, \vec{a}, t_3) = \vec{s}_2(t_1, t_2) \quad (3.25)$$

Eqn (3.25) is fulfilled whenever, for a given  $s_1 \in S_1$  the homotopy curve  $c(\vec{s}_1, \vec{a})$  crosses the surface  $S_2$ . Changing  $s_1 \rightarrow s_2$ ,  $S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  in eqns (3.24),

(3.25) one gets  $N$  from the second integral on the r.h.s. of eqn(3.23). Making in (3.23) transition  $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$  we get for  $S_1 = S_2 = S$ :

$$[\mathcal{E}_c^{(S)}, \mathcal{E}_d^{(S)}]_D = 2gc_{cdf} \int_{s \in S} \vec{E}_f(s) \cdot \vec{n}_S(s) N(c(s, a); S) d\sigma \quad (3.26)$$

In this case there is always at least one common point of  $c(\vec{s}, \vec{a})$  and  $S$ , as  $c(\vec{s}, \vec{a})$  ends on  $s \in S$ . The weight of this end- point contribution to  $N$  is  $\frac{1}{2}$  as can be seen from the limiting transition  $S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  in eqn(3.23). Therefore, for any fixed  $s \in S$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 2N(c(s, a); S) &= sgn \frac{\partial \vec{c}(\vec{s}, \vec{a}, t_3)}{\partial t_3} \Big|_{t_3=1} \cdot \vec{n}_S(\vec{s}) + \\ &+ 2 \sum_{t; t_3 \neq 1, s \neq s(t_1, t_2)} sgn \left( \frac{\partial c(\vec{s}, \vec{a}, t_3)}{\partial t_3} \cdot \vec{n}_S(t_1, t_2) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

with

$$\vec{c}(\vec{s}, \vec{a}, t_3) = \vec{s}(t_1, t_2) \quad (3.28)$$

Eqns (3.21), (3.26) together with the trivial bracket

$$[\mathcal{B}_c, \mathcal{B}_d]_D = 0 \quad (3.29)$$

do not form closed algebra for any chosen  $H(L, c)$  and  $S$ . They can be however replaced by a set of closed algebras on the properly chosen parts of  $H(L, c)$  and  $S$ . This will be discussed elsewhere [6]. Let us conclude with a choice of such  $H(L_0, c)$  and  $S_0$  that

$$L_0 \bigcup S_0 = \emptyset \quad (3.30)$$

i.e. abelian part does not contribute to (3.21). Moreover, put  $2N$  in eqn(3.26) and  $N$  in eqn(3.21) equal to 1. (Example: in the Fock-Schwinger gauge take  $H(L_0, C)$  to be a cone and  $S_0$  to be any planar surface containing elliptic section of  $H_0$ ). In such a case we have:

$$[\mathcal{E}_a^{S_0}, \mathcal{B}_b^{H_0}]_D = gc_{abc} \mathcal{B}_c^{H_0} \quad (3.31)$$

$$[\mathcal{E}_a^{S_0}, \mathcal{E}_b^{S_0}]_D = gc_{abc} \mathcal{E}_c^{S_0} \quad (3.32)$$

$$[\mathcal{B}_a^{H_0}, \mathcal{B}_b^{H_0}]_D = 0 \quad (3.33)$$

If we took  $S^0$  to be closed surface surrounding  $\vec{a}$ , then (3.32) is the algebra of charges contained in its interior,  $V_0$ :

$$[Q_a^E(V_0), Q_b^E(V_0)]_D = gc_{abc} Q_c^E(V_0) \quad (3.34)$$



The question whether replacement of fluxes  $\mathcal{B}^H$  by  $\mathcal{B}^\sigma$  -  $\sigma$  being arbitrary surface - leaves simplicity of flux algebras intact will be discussed elsewhere [6].

## Acknowledgements

I am indebted to dr.Gregory Korchemsky for his remark that the gauge condition (1.1) has been already proposed and applied in QCD under the name of contour gauges [1]. I would also like to thank professors Wojciech Królikowski, Elliot Leader and Mr.Jarosław Boguszyński for interesting discussions.

This work was supported in part by Polish KBN Grant PB2-P03B-06510.

## References

- [1] S.V.Ivanov, G.P.Korchemsky, Phys.Lett. B154 (1985)197  
S.V.Ivanov et al Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 44(1986)230  
G.P.Korchemsky, A.V.Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. B171(1986)459  
S.V.Ivanov, Fiz.Elem.Chastits At.Yadra 21(1990)75
- [2] L.Łukaszuk et al (PA16-1) - contribution to ICHEP96 Conference
- [3] S.Weinberg, The Quantum Theory of Fields, Cambr.Univ.Press, (1995)  
A.J.Hanson, T.Regge, C.Teitelboim, Academia Nazionale dei Lincei, Rome 1974
- [4] A.Hosoya, K.Shigemoto, Progr.of Theor. Phys. 65, 2008(1981)
- [5] M.B.Halpern, Phys.Lett. B81, 245(79)  
G.t'Hooft, Nucl.Phys. B153, 141(1979)  
A.Caticha, Phys.Rev. D37, 2323(1988)  
L.Leal, Mod.Phys.Lett. A11, 1107(1996)
- [6] L.Łukaszuk, to be published